

Grayson County Board of Supervisors
Regular Meeting
June 10, 2010

Members attending this meeting were: Mike Maynard, Joe Vaughan, Larry Bartlett, Brenda Sutherland, and Doug Carrico.

IN RE: CONSENT AGENDA

Joe Vaughan moved to approve the consent agenda as presented, duly seconded by Mike Maynard. Motion carried 5-0.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS

Larry Bartlett moved to appoint Tony Caudill to a 4 year term on the Board of Zoning Appeals, duly seconded by Mike Maynard. Motion carried 5-0.

IN RE: RESOLUTION FOR REVENUE SHARING PROGRAM – SPIRIT HARBOR

Doug Carrico moved to adopt the following resolution, duly seconded by Brenda Sutherland. Motion carried 5-0.

RESOLUTION
*OF THE GRAYSON COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO PURSUE
THE FY-2011 VDOT REVENUE SHARING PROGRAM*

WHEREAS, the Grayson County Board of Supervisors desires to submit an application for an allocation of funds of up to \$750,000.00 through the Virginia Department of Transportation Fiscal Year 2011, Revenue Sharing Program; and

WHEREAS, \$375,000.00 of these funds are requested to fund the upgrade of State Route 766 (Fox Knob Road) to meet Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) Standard RRR from the intersections of Route 708 (Potato Creek Road) to Route 852, and to upgrade State Route 852 (Foxwood Lane) to VDOT Standard RRR from the intersection of Route 766 to the end of State Maintenance on same Route for a total of 1.88 miles; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT, the Grayson County Board of Supervisors does hereby support this application for an allocation of \$375,000.00 through the Virginia Department of Transportation Revenue Sharing Program.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT, the Grayson County Board of Supervisors does hereby grant authority to the County Administrator to execute project administration agreements for any approved revenue sharing project.

IN RE: RESOLUTION – VIRGINIA STATE RETIREMENT SYSTEM

Doug Carrico moved to approve the following resolution, duly seconded by Brenda Sutherland. Motion carried 5-0.

RESOLUTION
OF THE GRAYSON COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
AUTHORIZATION FOR EMPLOYEES' CONTRIBUTION TO VRS UNDER
414(h) OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE FOR PLAN 2 EMPLOYEES

***Whereas**, the Virginia General Assembly, in its 2010 session passed legislation creating a separate retirement plan for employees hired on or after July 1, 2010 (hereafter referred to as "Plan 2 Employees"). The legislation stipulates that Plan 2 Employees will pay their 5 percent member contribution and that, absent other action by the employer, such contribution will be paid through salary reduction according to Internal Revenue Code 414(h) on a pre-tax basis; and*

***Whereas**, the legislation allows certain employers, including the Grayson County Board of Supervisors to pick-up and pay all or a portion of the member contributions on behalf of its Plan 2 Employees as an additional benefit not paid as salary; and*

***Whereas**, the election to pick-up and pay all or a portion of the member contributions on behalf of its Plan 2 Employees as an additional benefit not paid as salary shall, once made, remain in effect for the applicable fiscal year (July 1 – June 30) and shall continue in effect beyond the end of such fiscal year absent a subsequent resolution changing the way the 5 percent member contribution is paid; and*

***Whereas**, employee contributions that are picked-up as an additional benefit not paid as salary are not considered wages for purposes of VA Code 51.1-700 et seq. nor shall they be considered salary for purposes of VA Code 51.1-100 et seq.; and*

***Whereas**, the Grayson County Board of Supervisors desires to pick-up and pay its Plan 2 Employees' member contributions to VRS as an additional benefit not paid as salary in an amount equal to (1%) (2%) (3%) (4%) (5%) of creditable compensations; and*

***Whereas**, VRS tracks such picked-up member contributions and is prepared to treat such contributions as employee contributions for all purposes of VRS.*

***Now, therefore, it is hereby resolved** that effective the first day of July, the Grayson County Board of Supervisors shall pick-up member contributions of its Plan 2 Employees to VRS as an additional benefit not paid as salary in an amount equal to (1%) (2%) (3%) (4%) (5%) of creditable compensation subject to the terms and conditions described above; and it is further*

***Resolved** that such contributions, although designated as member contributions, are to be made by the Grayson County Board of Supervisors in lieu of member contributions; and it is further*

***Resolved** that nothing herein shall be construed so as to permit or extend an option to VRS members to receive the picked-up contributions made by the Grayson County Board of Supervisors directly instead of having them paid to VRS.*

IN RE: GRAYSON COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MONTHLY REQUISITION

Doug Carrico moved to approve the payment for the final draw for the School Board for the 09/10 Fiscal Year in the amount of \$263,724.00, duly seconded by Mike Maynard. Motion carried 5-0.

IN RE: UNANTICIPATED REVENUES

Mike Maynard moved to approve reallocation for unanticipated revenues in the amount of \$885,992.06, duly seconded by Joe Vaughan. Motion carried 5-0.

IN RE: REQUEST TO HOLD PUBLIC HEARING – BUILDING CODE ORDINANCE

Brenda Sutherland moved to approve the public hearing to adopt the Virginia Maintenance Code with the defined limits of Grayson County (amendment to the Grayson County Building Code Ordinance), duly seconded by Mike Maynard. Motion carried 5-0.

IN RE: RESOLUTION TERMS OF IDA MEMBERS

Joe Vaughan moved to approve the terms of IDA members as set forth by resolution, duly seconded by Mike Maynard. Motion carried 5-0 by roll call vote: Joe Vaughan – aye; Mike Maynard – aye; Doug Carrico – aye; Brenda Sutherland – aye; Larry Bartlett – aye.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING

A public hearing was held to receive public comment regarding a request submitted by the Wired Road Authority on behalf of CB Hoffman for a Special Use Permit for a wireless communication tower on property referenced as Tax Map 30-A-76.

Debbie Bolen provided explanation of the Special Use Permit. A lease will be signed with Mr. Hoffman after the Special Use Permit is approved. The Wired Road Authority has obtained grant funds of \$850,000.00 from the USDA for completion of the project. Conditional approval will be based on a 200' setback from the adjoining landowners and the lease being signed by Mr. Hoffman.

Zoning Administrator Lisa Barker provided the recommendation from the Planning Commission. The Planning Commission recommended approval of the Special Use Permit by vote of 6-0 at a public hearing held on May 18, 2010.

The following people spoke in favor of the Special Use Permit:

- James Tarvid
- James Anderson

Joe Vaughan moved to close the public hearing, duly seconded by Doug Carrico. Motion carried 5-0.

Joe Vaughan moved to approve the Special Use Permit, duly seconded by Doug Carrico. Motion carried 5-0.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING – LAURA M. GEORGE – AMETHYST ACRES, LLC

A public hearing was held to receive comments regarding a request submitted by Laura M. George on behalf of Amethyst Acres, LLC, requesting a Special Use Permit on property Tax Map 71-A-48C consisting of 11.031 acres for the purpose of constructing a retreat center and cabins to be known as the Oracle Retreat Center.

Zoning Administrator Lisa Barker presented the recommendation of the Planning Commission. At a meeting held May 18, 2010 following a public hearing, the Planning Commission voted to recommend approval of the Special Use Permit request by vote of 5-0 with Palmer Fant and Don Young abstaining from voting.

Laura George addressed the Board stating that the Institute was established to do two things:

1. To publish books (first books looks at 5 primary religions)
2. To provide classes (some have religious/spiritual focus – Buddhist, Hindu, etc.; some have been on sustainability, gardening, nutrition, fitness, health) all related to feeding the mind, body, the spirit and helping people do their best in whatever they choose to do with their life.

The project is to build a retreat center to help them to these two critical pieces of their mission. The retreat center would also have a library with books on a wide variety of topics, which would be made available to the public. In addition, they would like to have 10 cabins on site to facilitate retreats. The cabins would house 2 people each. This might be for a corporation that would like to do a team-building exercise for a week; or a writer's workshop for a week. A spiritual retreat would also be a possibility as would recreational retreat. All activities would be structured and monitored. A daily average of 2-4 people would be working in the retreat center. For the community classes an average of 20-25 people would be the maximum. For nature, team-building, or spiritual retreats, etc. there would be a maximum of 20 people. For special events, the maximum number would be 50 people. Exterior lighting will not be used except motion sensor lights, and entrance/exit lights. Fencing bordering pastures will be assisted by the Institute if necessary.

Rebecca Fant, project architect, addressed the Board regarding the specifics of the building.

Dwight Carter with Environmental Solutions spoke concerning the development. Mr. Carter stated that he saw no conflicts environmentally that could not be overcome.

Janice Reid spoke to the Board in support of the goals of the Oracle Institute.

Zoning Administrator Lisa Barker addressed the Board stating that there were 5 phone comments: Bob and Barbara Reedy of Mouth of Wilson, Tommy and Hazel Halsey from Troutdale, Eva Campbell from Troutdale, Wilma Reedy from Troutdale, and Diana Campbell from Mouth of Wilson all in opposition to the proposed project.

Ms. Barker stated that three letters were received from: one collectively from Jerry Dowell, Jean Dowell, Barbara Halsey, Mark Halsey, Tina Tate, and Vivien Hash Brown, one from Dennis and Janie Cox, and one from Lois Catron. All letters were in opposition to this project.

The following people spoke in opposition to the Special Use Permit:

1. Mary Hollingsworth
2. Eddie Roland

3. Danny Snow
4. Charlotte Peak
5. Mary Young (adjacent landowner)
6. Billy Bryant
7. W. G. Whitmore
8. Daniel Peak
9. Wallace Parsons
10. Derek Cowan
11. Jimmy Osborne
12. Nancy Parsons
13. Tim Boyette
14. Larry Clark
15. James Anderson
16. Patty Rickman
17. Richard Lindamood
18. Marlin Dean
19. Richard Young
20. Bo Moxley
21. John McKinney
22. Matthew Parsons
23. Debra McDaniel
24. John Smith
25. Martha Sawyers
26. Sharon Osborne

The following people spoke in support of the Special Use Permit:

1. James Tarvid
2. Sandra Jennings

Mike Maynard moved to close the public hearing, duly seconded by Joe Vaughan. Larry Bartlett questioned whether 20 parking spaces would be sufficient for the attendance for activities planned there. Ms. George stated that during a retreat, no other activities or classes would be planned.

Doug Carrico questioned how many wells would be on the project. Dwight Carter stated that it would be the number required by the County.

Mike Maynard mentioned the number of people which has changed now to 50. Jonathan Sweet compared it to Spirit Harbor and the requirement to upgrade it to Rural Rustic by VDOT. Mr. Maynard also questioned Laura George concerning the description of activities based on what was presented to the Board. Mr. Maynard stated that only one use would be recreational, the rest would be educational. Mike Maynard asked Zoning Administrator, Lisa Barker, what the original intent of the Rural Commercial Recreational designation was. Ms. Barker stated that the ordinance was looking toward occasional uses such as lawn mower races, fairs, etc.

Doug Carrico asked Ms. Barker if the property changed hands would the Special Use Permit stay with the property. Ms. Barker stated that it would.

Mr. Carrico questioned Ms. George about language suggesting a self protection force. He also asked Ms. George about week long stays. Ms. George stated that length of stays would vary: 2

hours, 1 day, 1 week, etc. based on the program. Hiking facilities were questioned, and Ms. George stated that there were approximately 18 acres, but serious hikers would need to venture away from the site.

Mr. Bartlett asked as to what provisions have been made for emergency vehicles for the facility. Ms. George stated that they would be in compliance with VDOT requirements as set forth by Becky Bane. Ms. Bane required that it be widened for two car passage continuing up the driveway for 40-50'. Ms. George stated that halfway up, there would be a pull-off area. She also stated that there would be a circular drive in front of the retreat center, which would be separate from the parking that could serve emergency vehicles. Mr. Bartlett asked if there was enough room for a large fire truck or ambulance. Ms. George stated that she had had large trucks come up to her house. Mr. Bartlett stated that the switchback at the top of the driveway was of particular concern as to whether emergency vehicles could make the turn to have access to the retreat center. He expressed concern that this is a safety hazard.

Doug Carrico asked if the stipulation was placed on the Special Use Permit that the maximum occupancy was 100 people, how would this be monitored. Ms. George stated that it was not her intention to do large events, only small seminars and retreats.

Mr. Carrico asked if there were requirements that would make grading for emergency vehicle passage necessary, is there adequate room to do this. Ms. George stated that there would be room enough to make the pull off area and the switchback area large enough for a truck.

Joe Vaughan moved to deny this application based on the interest, protection of the health, and safety of the public and the welfare of the citizens of the Wilson District, duly seconded by Brenda Sutherland.

Mike Maynard stated that the County needs to make sure that the action taken has enough specifics relating to the ordinance and what it requires.

Jonathan Sweet quoted Section 5.5 Special Use Provisions – Such special uses are deemed to be generally appropriate to the district or districts to which they are assigned under this ordinance, and reasonably harmonious with the uses permitted in said districts as a matter of right, provided that the location and design of the site in each case is determined by the Planning Commission [i.e. the Board of Supervisors] to be in accord with standards herein set forth. Mr. Sweet stated based on this it should be very reasonable for the Board to make a decision based on the public welfare aspect in being compatible and harmonious with the sites that are contiguous and adjacent to it.

Mr. Maynard stated that when an applicant leaves a hearing where there are concerns about their application, they need to leave with the information that could remedy the problem. He stated that he didn't feel that Ms. George had that kind of direction and that it was unfair to her as it would be to anyone who came to the Board.

Mr. Bartlett stated that it was the responsibility of this legislative body to determine the necessity, convenience, general welfare and good zoning practice as required, not the responsibility of the Board to offer proffers for solutions. He stated that it was not the purpose of the Board of Supervisors to make proffers to Ms. George as to what is necessary for her to accomplish her objectives. He stated that they are her just to make a decision on whether she has met the objectives in this zoning matter. Mr. Bartlett stated that it would adversely affect other

property, that the public health is adversely affected – both the people there and the people that are coming there to help. The protection of their own is an issue on the website. He stated however that Ms. George recanted that. Mr. Bartlett stated that this property is in view and will adversely affect the view shed of the New River. He stated that all of these things set forth the fact that this Special Use Permit does not conform to the public necessity, public convenience, the general welfare or is not a good zoning practice in this county.

Mr. Maynard stated that this was not about proffers but was about being able to tell an applicant what is wrong with the application, not to suggest what the remedies are. Mr. Maynard stated that it has nothing to do with the approval or disapproval of the application, but the way they want to treat people when they make application for use of their land. Mr. Maynard stated that anybody should be able to walk out knowing what they need to fix. Doug Carrico stated that he agreed with Mr. Maynard that they if they did say what they think are the problems; they don't have to offer the solution. Mr. Carrico stated that if he had property and it was turned down because of that, he would want to know why.

Mr. Bartlett stated that anyone in this audience could figure out that Ms. George is not complying with the requirements of this community and he particularly noted that he was not talking about religious requirements. He stated that he is talking about the requirements of the zoning ordinance, the requirements to protect the people, and the requirements to provide services of our law enforcement and the general welfare of the citizens.

Mike Maynard questioned the road traffic and the ability of fire and rescue to negotiate the road. Mr. Maynard stated that he was still not sure that the stated uses in Ms. George's application for the property line up with Recreational and that may be a shortcoming in the ordinance where there needs to be a place for these types of activities.

Jonathan Sweet quoted Section 3.1-2 of the Zoning Ordinance concerning Special Uses. He stated that schools and churches or places of worship were permitted under Special Uses. These are more traditional in concept. A school would be thought of in the traditional sense as a public or private school officially designated as such. Churches or places of worship are churches from a traditional standpoint that are established as a church. Mr. Sweet stated that what the County is hearing from the applicant is that it is not a school even though teaching will take place, and it is not a church even though some forms of theology, etc. will be imparted there and even some worship may take place there. It is not traditional in nature, so it was a challenge for staff to assist the applicant in finding the proper use. The County conferred with legal council, looking at the application, and what their intentions were – what would best fit. Mr. Sweet stated that Ms. George's application didn't perfectly match, but it fit there better than anywhere else.

Mr. Sweet conducted a roll call vote on the motion to deny the Special Use Permit. Motion carried 5-0 by roll call vote: Joe Vaughan – aye; Mike Maynard – aye; Doug Carrico – aye; Brenda Sutherland – aye; Larry Bartlett – aye.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING – RATE CHANGE – FAIRVIEW WATER SYSTEM

A public hearing was held to receive comments regarding a proposed rate change for the Fairview Water System. Mitch Smith briefed the Board on the water rate study and associated facts concerning the request to increase.

The proposed rate is for the first 2,000 gallons @ \$21.00 minimum; over 2,000 gallons @ \$5.50 per 1,000 gallons. This would cover the County for the increase that Galax will charge for water, but would not cover any supplies that might be needed. Chairman, Larry Bartlett questioned whether this would be County wide. Mr. Smith stated that this would not be. The minimum for Grant is \$20.00 for the first 2,000 gallons and \$5.50 for per 1,000 over 2,000 gallons.

No public comments were received. Joe Vaughan moved to close the public hearing, duly seconded by Doug Carrico. Motion carried 5-0.

Mike Maynard moved to approve the requested rate change to the Fairview Water System, duly seconded by Doug Carrico. Motion carried 5-0 by roll call vote- Joe Vaughan – aye; Mike Maynard – aye; Doug Carrico – aye; Brenda Sutherland – aye; Larry Bartlett – aye.

IN RE: INCREASE OF BUILDING PERMIT and SOIL & EROSION COTROL FEES

A public hearing was held to receive comments regarding the proposed increases to the Building Permit and Soil & Erosion Control fees. Building Official, James Moss addressed the Board, briefing them on the proposed changes.

No comments were received. Doug Carrico moved to close the public hearing, duly seconded by Joe Vaughan. Motion carried 5-0.

Doug Carrico moved to approve the fee changes as presented, duly seconded by Brenda Sutherland. Motion carried 5-0 by roll call vote: Joe Vaughan – aye; Mike Maynard – aye; Doug Carrico – aye; Brenda Sutherland – aye; Larry Bartlett – aye.

IN RE: INCREASE OF ZONING PERMIT FEES

A public hearing was held to receive comments regarding the proposed increases to the Zoning Permit Fees. Lisa Barker, Zoning Administrator, addressed the Board with regard to the proposed changes.

No comments were received. Joe Vaughan moved to close the public hearing, duly seconded by Doug Carrico. Motion carried 5-0.

Joe Vaughan moved to approve the proposed fee changes, duly seconded by Doug Carrico. Motion carried 5-0 by roll call vote: Joe Vaughan – aye; Mike Maynard – aye; Doug Carrico – aye; Brenda Sutherland – aye; Larry Bartlett – aye.

IN RE: GRAYSON COUNTY FY 2011 BUDGET

A public hearing was held to receive comments regarding the proposed FY 2011 Budget for Grayson County.

County Administrator Jonathan Sweet presented the proposed budget to the Board. Mr. Sweet discussed the budget process, stating that the proposed budget increase would bring Grayson County out of its financial crunch in 6 years. Mr. Sweet stated that the proposed budget would be \$20,523,604.00.

Public comments were received from:

1. Brian Grimm
2. Larry Bolt
3. Junior Young
4. Fred Weatherman
5. Jerry Testerman
6. Pam Hall
7. Janice McClure
8. Rhonda Walls
9. Joe Wright
10. Ned Shupe
11. Dennis Hines
12. Steven Moxley
13. Jennifer Snow

Joe Vaughan moved to close the public hearing, duly seconded by Doug Carrico. Motion carried 5-0.

IN RE: ADJOURN

Brenda Sutherland moved to adjourn, duly seconded by Doug Carrico. Motion carried 5-0.

Grayson County Board of Supervisors
June 23, 2010

Members attending this meeting were: Joe Vaughan, Mike Maynard, Larry Bartlett, Brenda Sutherland, and Doug Carrico.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Doug Carrico moved to approve the agenda with the addition of Fiscal 2009/2010 as requested by Larry Bartlett, duly seconded by Joe Vaughan. Motion carried 5-0.

IN RE: SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION FINANCING (PHASE I)

Phase I construction financing will be closing either Friday or Monday on the new not and refinancing on old notes will be done at the same time.

IN RE: BOND RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE A REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTE

An RFP has been sent to banks all across the US. Three were received that were attractive: Carter Bank and Trust, Grayson National Bank, and Branch Banking and Trust. A recommendation has been made to stay with Carter Bank and Trust based on the finance rate and fee schedule. Doug Carrico moved to authorize a Bond Resolution using Carter Bank, duly seconded by Doug Carrico. Motion carried 5-0 by roll call vote: Joe Vaughan – aye; Mike Maynard – aye; Doug Carrico – aye; Brenda Sutherland – aye; Larry Bartlett – aye. A draw of \$2,000,000.00 will be made on July 1, 2010 for operating expenses.

IN RE: RESOLUTION VDOT/DGIF

Joe Vaughan moved to approve a resolution for the placement of signs of caution on the New River for Route 94 Bridge Construction, duly seconded by Brenda Sutherland. Motion carried 5-0.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS – IDA

Brenda Sutherland moved to approve the transfer of funds in the amount of \$150,000.00 from the IDA Account to the General Fund to satisfy incentives to Med-Fit Systems, Inc., duly seconded by Doug Carrico. Motion carried 5-0.

IN RE: TRANSFER FROM GENERAL FUND TO SCHOOL BOARD

Joe Vaughan moved to authorize and additional appropriation and subsequent transfer from the General Fund in the amount of \$5.00 to the Grayson County School Board to provide Full RLE Funding, duly seconded by Brenda Sutherland. Motion carried 5-0.

IN RE: REALLOCATION OF UNANTICIPATED REVENUE

Doug Carrico moved to approve the reallocation of unanticipated revenue, duly seconded by Joe Vaughan. Motion carried 5-0.

IN RE: JUNE CLAIMS

Mike Maynard moved to approve the payment of June 2010 claims, duly seconded by Doug Carrico. Motion carried 5-0.

IN RE: REQUEST TO HOLD A PUBLIC HEARING – REAL ESTATE AFFIDAVIT COST

Doug Carrico moved to advertise for public hearing on a proposed change in the ordinance to increase the Real Estate Affidavit Cost from \$17.00 to \$67.00, duly seconded by Brenda Sutherland. Motion carried 5-0. A public hearing will be scheduled for the August 2010 Board Meeting.

IN RE: ESTABLISHMENT OF THE PPTRA 2010 PERCENTAGE RATE

Brenda Sutherland moved to approve the PPTRA 2010 Percentage Rate as presented, duly seconded by Joe Vaughan. Motion carried 5-0 by roll call vote: Joe Vaughan – aye; Mike Maynard – aye; Doug Carrico – aye; Brenda Sutherland – aye; Larry Bartlett –aye.

IN RE: FY 2011 BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS

Doug Carrico moved to approve the proposed FY 2011 Budget Adjustments, duly seconded by Brenda Sutherland. Motion carried 5-0.

IN RE: FY 2011 BUDGET APPROVAL

Doug Carrico moved to approve the FY 2011 Budget of \$20,523,604.00 and opposed levies as advertised, duly seconded by Brenda Sutherland. Motion carried 5-0 by roll call vote: Joe Vaughan – aye; Mike Maynard – aye; Doug Carrico – aye; Brenda Sutherland – aye; Larry Bartlett –aye.

IN RE: REQUEST TO HOLD PUBLIC HEARING – REAL ESTATE TAXATION SCHEDULE

Mike Maynard moved to advertise changing from twice yearly to once yearly tax collection, duly seconded by Doug Carrico. Motion carried 5-0.

IN RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TIME

Doug Carrico made comments concerning Land Care meeting and Mr. Smith's speech to them about the Courthouse Construction Project. He requested that the Board do a letter of support for the Land Care Group for the Slaughter House that they are working toward. It was the consensus of the Board to do that. Mr. Carrico also commented that he wished that he hadn't voted no on the Oracle Institute issue.

Mike Maynard commented concerning ARC visitors and DCHD visitors to this area to meet with BRECEDA.

IN RE: PUBLIC COMMENTS

Dwayne Holdaway commented on the tax increase for the County.

John McKinney commented on funds for fines and where they are spent.

Jim Handy commented concerning tax assessments for County employees and people that live in the County that are on Social Security.

IN RE: ADJOURN

Mike Maynard moved to adjourn, duly seconded by Joe Vaughan. Motion carried 5-0.

Grayson County Board of Supervisors
June 30, 2010

Members attending this meeting were: Joe Vaughan, Larry Bartlett, Brenda Sutherland, and Doug Carrico. Mike Maynard was absent.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Joe Vaughan moved to approve the agenda as presented, duly seconded by Doug Carrico. Motion carried 5-0.

IN RE: SCHOOL END OF YEAR CLOSEOUT

Larry Bartlett moved to approve the Grayson County Public Schools End of Year Closeout, duly seconded by Brenda Sutherland. Motion carried 4-0.

IN RE: TRANSFER FROM SPECIAL LAW ENFORCEMENT FUND TO GENERAL FUND

Joe Vaughan moved to transfer \$41,500.00 from the Special Law Enforcement Fund to the General Fund, duly seconded by Brenda Sutherland. Motion carried 4-0.

IN RE: REALLOCATION OF UNANTICIPATED REVENUE

Doug Carrico moved to approve the reallocation of unanticipated revenue, duly seconded by Joe Vaughan. Motion carried 4-0.

IN RE: FY 2010 FINAL BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS

Doug Carrico moved to approve the FY 2010 final budget adjustments, duly seconded by Brenda Sutherland. Motion carried 4-0.

IN RE: ADJOURN

Joe Vaughan moved to adjourn, duly seconded by Doug Carrico. Motion carried 5-0.

Chairman